C.F 1051 (3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 2732/2005

PETITIONER :- G.S. Patil S/o G.L. Patil, aged about 49 years, Sub- Inspector (M) Special Branch Police Head Quarter Chhattisgarh Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1) The Union of India, Through - The Secretary Ministry of Personal, Public Grievances and Pension, Khan Market New Delhi.

2) The State of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary Department of Police and Home, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

3) The State of Madhya Pradesh Through- The Secretary, Department of Home and Police Affairs, Mantralaya, Bhopal (MP)

4) The State of Madhya Pradesh Through- The Secretary G.A.D. State Reorganisation Cell Wallabh Bhawan Bhopal (M.P.).

P.R. No. 2960/05 Presented by Shri MR Bhadoria dated 25/6/05 29/06/05

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

29

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 2732/05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

26.6.2006

Shri M.K. Bhaduri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. Advocate General for the State of Chhattisgarh.

By this petition the petitioner has questioned the allocation order dated 16.9.2002 whereby the petitioner's services has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh.

The petitioner joined the Department of Police on 27.11.1981 and presently he is posted as Sub Inspector (M) in Special Brach, PHQ, Raipur. The case of the petitioner is that on reorganization of the State the petitioner moved the representation that as he is the resident of State of Madhya Pradesh, his children are taking education in State of M.P. and his wife & children are Bhopal Gas Tragedy victims, therefore, his services be allocated to the State of M.P., but the petitioner's representation was not considered. The petitioner again made representation (Annexure P-6) on the ground of Gas Victims to the Secretary, Reorganization Cell, Govt. of M.P., Bhopal & Secretary, Public Grievances and Pension Department, Lok Nayak Bhawan, New Delhi, but nothing has been heard so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the given situation the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed

30

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

representation to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to consider his representation and pass appropriate orders.

The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 along with documents regarding Bhopal Gas Tragedy Victims within a period of two weeks from today and in turn respondent No.2 to send the same with his comments to respondent No.3 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation and in turn respondent No.3 shall examine the case of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation from respondent No.2 and forward the same to respondent No.1 with his comments for consideration of same. Thereafter, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the directions aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge